Of these six volumes three are of the testimony and three are of the documentary exhibits.

2. These six volumes, with the testimony not reduced to narrative form, were accepted in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

3. To reduce the testimony contained in the three volumes to narrative form would not lessen the total number of volumes of record by more than one volume; that is to say, there would still remain five volumes, because the number of volumes of exhibits would necessarily remain the same.

4. There are available at the present time a sufficient number of sets of this already printed record as used in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals to supply the requirements of the rules of this Court.

5. The cost of reprinting this record, it is estimated, would be in the neighborhood of $10,000.

6. The United States District Court and the United States Circuit Court of Appeals accepted a stipulation of counsel that a printed copy of the record in the Patent Office and the Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia in the interference of Arnold vs. Langmuir might go in and be used as a physical exhibit, to avoid the necessity of reprinting that evidence.

7. For these reasons your petitioner respectfully moves this Court for an order (a) permitting the use as the printed record in this Court of the printed volumes of record in the case as used in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, and (b) permitting printed copy of the interference record Arnold vs. Langmuir to come up as a physical exhibit.

8. The respondent General Electric Company joins in the foregoing statements and motion and asks that the motion be granted.

Respectfully,

THOMAS G. HAIGHT,
Counsel for Petitioner.

HUBERT HOWSON,
Counsel for Respondent.

March 4, 1931.